

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,246	04/06/2001	William L. Grouell	843161-85	2732
7:	590 10/22/2002			
Brain M Berliner O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899			EXAMINER	
			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	\overline{a}
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·,		@ obs
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	09/828,246	GROUELL, WILLIAM L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cov r sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	18 September 2002 .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22 and 24</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	d/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by th	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the point of the point	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	iummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/828,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner acknowledges the submission of the amendment filed on 09/18/02.

At this time claims 9, 17 are amended, claims 23 and 24 are newly added claims. Thus, claims 1-24 are pending in the instant application.

Reissue Applications

1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error, which is relied upon to support the reissue application.

See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

Applicant must identify a single word, phrase or expression in the specification or in an original claim which renders the original patent wholly or partly inoperative or invalid. The applicant's statement in paragraph 10 of the Reissue Application Declaration does not specifically indicate how the phrases: "a rectangular plate" and "having rectangular shape when viewed in plan" render the original patent wholly or partly inoperative or invalid.

Claims 1-24 rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

2. The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2835

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9-13, 15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skutt et al. in view of Wilens.

Skutt et al. discloses a shield 58 comprising rectangular plate with a plurality of louvers 100 having a longitudinal edge with ends spaced inward from the sides of the plate, two side edges and a fourth edge integral with the plate. Skutt et al. disclose the claimed invention except having the plate to be used with a hard disk drive. Wilens discloses a shield attached to a heat generating device 25 including louvers 24, a depression to contact the heat generating device, as claimed in claims 2, 5, 15, 20. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the shield as disclosed by Skutt et al. for cooling a heat generating device including a hard disk drive as disclosed by Wilens since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647, (1987).

3. Claims 3, 6, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skutt et al. in view of Wilens.

Details drawn to the plate having outward-upward slanted edges and another set of louvers oriented in opposite direction are well known in the art (references listed in US PTO 892 form which are not applied at this time show these features) and are not considered to be demonstrated as critical and therefore obvious, it also must be noted

Application/Control Number: 09/828,246 Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

that louvered plates are widely used in numerous domestic situations such as vent grills of different shapes and sizes.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 17-22 and 24 are allowed.
- 5. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Response to Arguments

In response to Applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the Examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must be some reason why one skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of primary and secondary references. In re Nomiya, 184 USPQ 607 (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is what the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re McLaughlin, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA) 1969. In this case, Skutt teaches the structure of the plate having a plurality of louvers being attached to an electrical device and the structure reads on the claims, Wilens teaches a heat dissipating device having louvers-like elements attached to a heat generating component and it would be obvious to combine the teachings of Wilens and Skutt for someone versed in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,246 Page 5

Art Unit: 2835

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 703-308-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115.

BORIS CHERVINSKY
PRIMARY EXAMINED

knis 1. Clavina

October 1, 2002